

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,906	10/03/2003	Yufei Chen	007773/CMP/CMP	2224	
75	90 08/25/2004		EXAM	INER	
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			MCDONALD,	MCDONALD, SHANTESE L	
PATENT COU	NSEL				
Legal Affairs D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 450A			3723	3723	
Santa Clara, CA	A 95052		D		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		111
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/678,906	CHEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTU	(S) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 (October 2003.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	٦.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) <u>21-31</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	` ' ' '	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	∍d.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/12/04</u>. 	6) Other:	atom Application (1 10+102)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear in claim 15, how the substrate can be "in the platen".

It is unclear in claim 16, as to what is meant by "decelerating the substrate form contact", as claimed in line 12.

It is unclear in claim 17 and 18 what is meant by "end the" as claimed in line 2.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the third platen" in line 1, and "the third carrier head", in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 26 recites the limitation "the third linear velocity" in line 1, and "the third carrier", in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The claims appear to have numerous 112 issues, further review and revision is requested.

Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 10/678,906 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 10 is depending from claim 10. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kin et al.

Kim et al. teaches a method for processing a substrate comprising positioning the substrate, in a polishing apparatus having a rotational carrier head and a rotatable platen, wherein the substrate is disposed in the rotational carrier head and the platen has a polishing article disposed thereon, rotating the carrier head at a first carrier head rotational rate and rotating the platen at a first platen rotational rate, contacting the substrate with the polishing article at a polishing pressure of less than about 2 psi, accelerating the first carrier head rotational rate to a second carrier head rotational rate and accelerating the first platen rotational rate to a second platen rotational rate and further decelerating the second carrier head rotational rate to a third carrier head rotational rate and decelerating the second platen rotational rate to a third platen rotational rate, wherein the first platen rotational rate is less than about 100 rpm and the

Art Unit: 3723

first carrier head rotational rate is less than about 100 rpm, (col. 7, line 14 - col. 8, line 17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al.

Kim et al. teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the step of removing the substrate form contact with the platen and the second platen rotational rate being between about 120 rpm and 750 rpm and the second carrier head rotational rate being between about 120 rpm to 500 rpm, the third platen rotational rate being less than 100 rpm and the third carrier head rotational rate being less than 100 rpm, accelerating the first carrier head and platen rotation rates to between about 5 to 60 rpm/s, the polishing pressure being between about 0.1 and 1 psi and decelerating the second carrier head and the second platen rotational rates to between about 5 to 60 rpm/s. It would a have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to operate the polishing apparatus of Kim et al. with the above listed parameters, in order to vary the polishing times, speeds, and results, and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claims are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routing skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-31 are allowed. Depending on the revision of the claims for 112 issues.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kodera et al., Lin, and Avanzino et al. were cited to show other polishing methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (703) 308-8722. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,906

Art Unit: 3723

S.L.M. August 20, 2004

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700 Page 6